UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,153	11/13/2001	Shinichi Takagi	019519-312	6439
	7590 04/07/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	CLARK, SHEILA V		
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	09/987,153	TAKAGI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	S. V. Clark	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. nely filed the mailing date of this co	•			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>6-11-</u> -	07.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
- 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-47,51-54 and 56-62</u> is/are pending	in the application					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-47,51-54 and 56-62</u> is/are rejected						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· <u> </u>					
Application Papers	·					
· · · <u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o			-D 4 404/4)			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
TT) The oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer, Note the attached Office	Action or ionii P i	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Art Unit: 2823

Claims 11-47, 51-54, 56-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Pannu v. Storz Instruments Inc.*, 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2001); *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement*, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States*, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue, which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to claim subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application.

Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope of claim subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

All independent reissue claims 11, 16, 21,22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 33, 35, 36, 38, 40, 42, 45, 51, 52, 54, 55, 59, 60, 61 contain recapture issues whereby said claims fail to contain subject matter surrendered in the original application. Claim language similar to "wherein said level difference serves to avoid capillary flow of solder to prevent short-circuiting between the leads adjacent to each other" appears in every patented independent claim. Applicant in the amendment filed 6-15-1999 presented arguments relative to the level difference allowing a clearance so to avoid the capillary flow of solder which caused short circuiting as an essential feature regarding the patentability

of this invention and whereby this feature was therefore added to obtain allowance of the original patent. This feature appears relates to subject matter previously surrendered in the original application and must be included in the reissue claims.

The MPEP 1412.02 states that, "for example, assume that limitation A of the patent claims is omitted in the reissue claims. This omission provides a broadening aspect in the reissue claims, as compared to the claims of the patent. If the omitted limitation A was argued in the original application to make the application claims allowable over the art in the application, then the omitted limitation relates to subject matter previously surrendered in the original application" see 142 F.3d at 1482,46 USPQ2d at 1649.

Applicant's arguments filed 6-11-07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is believed that the rejection above is appropriate and consistent with current reissue practice and therefore stands as stated in the record.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/987,153

Art Unit: 2823

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 4

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to S. V. Clark

at telephone number (571) 272-1725.

/S. V. Clark/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2823

March 30, 2008